=			1. CO	NTRACT ID C	ODE	PAGE	OF PAGES
LIMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION		CONTRACT				1	1
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0007	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 8/14/01	4. REQUISITION/PUF	RCHASE	E REQ. NO.	5. PROJECT NO). (If applical	ole)
6. ISSUED BY CODE	MDA220	7. ADMINISTERED B	Y (If oth	er than Item	6) CODE		
DEFENSE FINANCE AND ACCOUNTIN							
ACQUISITION SUPPORT ORGANIZAT	TION-DFAS-ASO/DPA						
1931 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY		SAME AS I	SAME AS BLK #6				
ARLINGTON, VA 22240-5291 ATTN: JANET CARLSON (703) 607-103	21 EAV (702) 607 1572/2122						
ATTN: JANET CARESON (703) 007-103	01 FAX (703) 007-1373/2132						
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, county, State, and ZIP Code) () 9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. X MDA220-01-R-0001							
					(SEE ITEM 11)		
			1/24/01	,,			
					ICATION OF CON	TRACT/ORD	ER NO.
				10B. DATED	(SEE ITEM 13)		
CODE	FACILITY CODE						
11. 7	HIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO	AMENDMENTS OF	SOLICI	TATIONS			
☑The above numbered solicitation is amended October 19, 2001, 3:00 PM EST	as set forth in Item 14. The hour and	date specified for receip	t of Offe	ers <u>X</u> is ext	ended,_ is not ext	ended to	
Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendm	ent prior to the hour and date spec	ified in the solicitation	or as aı	mended, by o	ne of the followin	g methods:	
(a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning (c) By separate letter of telegram which includes AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT	a reference to the solicitation and of OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOU	amendment numbers. R AND DATE SPECIFIE	FAILUF D MAY	RE OF YOUR A	ACKNOWLEDGE EJECTION OF YO	MENT TO BE	RECEIVED If by virtue
of this amendment you desire to change an offer the solicitation and this amendment, and is received			n or lett	er, provided e	ach telegram or I	etter makes	reference to
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (ило оробинов.					
	ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MOD				S,		
IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14. (1) A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.							
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b)							
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMEN			.0.100(10	,			
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification	on and authority)						
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor is not, is required	E. IMPORTANT: Contractor is not, is required to sign this document and return 2 copies to the issuing office.						
14. DECRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)							
The purpose of this amend	ment is to extend the d	ate and time fo	or sul	bmission	of proposa	ls to	
							ce.
October 19, 2001, 3:00 PM EST, provide answers to vendor questions as detailed on page 2, make							
changes to the PWS and modify exhibits/attachments as indicated on page 2.							
Except as provided herein, all terms and condition effect.	ns of the document referenced in I	em 9A or 10A, as here	tofore c	hanged, rema	ins unchanged a	nd in full for	ce and
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type of print) 16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or Print)							
ASD CONTRACT/OFFERS	450 DATE GIOVIES	400 100755 0575	-0.0= -		. Carlson	DATE SIS	NED
15B. CONTRACT/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATE	S OF A	IVIERICA	160	C. DATE SIG	NED
(Signature of person authorized to size)		/Cianatara	of Conf	racting Office	<u> </u>		
(Signature of person authorized to sign)		(Signature	ui cont	racting Office	1)		

Section C, paragraph 1.4.4, is modified to reference exhibit J.5. Paragraph 1.7.2.1.2 is modified to reference the need to consider the impact of using the other Government provided systems (see Exhibit J.5). Paragraph 3.1.2 is amended to clarify that DIFS CDA maintenance is Government furnished and to update the code of DFAS-TDA/DE to DFAS-TSAB/DE. This paragraph is further modified to delete the reference to exhibit J.5. Paragraph 4.3 is added to ensure that the contractor understands the responsibility for follow on training of their personnel in the use of Government furnished systems after the initial start up training. Paragraph 5.1.2.3 is modified to indicate when recording installation level accounting entries the contractor is required to use those Government furnished systems listed in exhibit J.5. Paragraph 5.1.6 is modified to update the code of DFAS-TDA/DE to DFAS-TSAB/DE. Paragraph 6 is modified to clarify that a contractor proposed AIS must include the same functionally as DIFS and to reference J.7 versus J.5 as the exhibit listing interfacing systems to DIFS.

Paragraph J-4.5.1 was added to exhibit J.4 on Government furnished systems. A listing of current Government furnished systems is included in exhibit J.5.

The introductory paragraphs of J.5 have been modified to change the title and to indicate that these are Government furnished systems that the contractor is required to use in order to perform security assistance accounting.

Changes were made to J.6 reference paragraph C-5.1.2.3 items and the two corresponding FTE tables to remove out of scope work, 1.24 FTEs performed at Dayton and San Bernardino involving reimbursements, which have been determined to be an Air Force responsibility versus security assistance).

An updated version of file FY 00-01Budget is provided to delete line item 648 FSA CDA Maintenance from the estimate of charges that remain in scope. CDA Maintenance is Government furnished.

In addition the following changes are provided to questions previously answered or partially answered from prior amendments.

1. The following response included in the Basic RFP is updated as follows:

Vendor A Questions	Answer/Comment
C-1-2 Ref Page C-4	
Are the other military services considering realignment of workload? If so could government provide a copy of the realignment plans?	We are not aware of any similar plan similar to the Air Force realignment plan. However, we are making some further adjustments to workload based on a signed memorandum of agreement with the Army and could include several other adjustments prior to completing the final PWS. These new agreements could reduce the overall scope of this A-76. Since we responded to this question initially, we are now engaged in additional discussions with the Air Force concerning delivery reporting work that may be transferred from DFAS back to the Air Force. We are currently not able to completely define the impact to the PWS of these discussions.

2. The following response included in Amendment #1 is corrected as follows:

Contro	V endor Q uestions	Answers
l #		
47.	Assuming that the winning contractor uses all existing software and hardware systems that are currently available, what systems operating, maintenance, upgrading and/or other functions, if any, will the contractor still have to provide?	If DIFS is utilized, systems operating and maintenance activities would be GFE. Actual software changes are Government furnished services and are to be accomplished by DFAS-TSAB/DE. Sections C-3.1.2 and C-5.1.6 do include requirements that the contractor must provide if DIFS is used.

3

3. The following response included in Amendment #2 is corrected as follows:

Control #	QUESTIONS	ANSWERS		
7	Our Question # 22 FY01 Budget Are there any expenses that will be eliminated by transferring to a contractor?	We are including as an attachment to amendment #2 a listing that describes what each cost is by line item and how that line item relates to its each object class.		
Follow-up Question Please explain by line item what each cois and identify those costs that will be eliminated (not chargeable) to a new contractor. For example will the contractor be charged for Line item 648 FSA CDA Maint, or depreciation and amortization or other fixed system costs and purchases? Please explain how costs that are discretionary will be considered in the evaluation. Please	Not all budgeted costs are considered in	Information required for the bid is contained in the performance work statement and the workload estimate. Costs to be included and evaluated in a vendor's bid must be based on the requirements contained in the RFP. Above		
	that, discretionary emphasis would be up to the individual bidder (MEO/vendor) based on their capabilities and competitive advantage.			
	We have addressed Government furnished facilities and services in our response to questions #1 and 2. We have discussed overheads in our response to question #6.			
	costs that are discretionary will be	The Depreciation costs for DIFS-R would not be billed to the vendor or the MEO but would be paid by DSCA outside of the scope of the A-76.		
	specify by line item those costs that will be charged to the MEO.	None of the CDA maintenance costs under line item 648 will be charged to the contractor. These costs are Government furnished.		
		Travel is a reimbursable line item under the RFP.		
		Military personnel costs will be eliminated by the MEO.		

4. The following response included in Amendment #5 is updated as follows:

	QUESTION	RESPONSE
Contro I#		
02	Can you please provide a corrected FY01 Budget and a FY02 Budget?	We are providing modified budgets showing estimates of costs that remain in scope for the original two budget years (FY 00 and FY 01) of data that was included on the CD-ROM in the technical library for the RFP. The FY 02 budget has not been completed since the final version will be dependent on the outcome of this RFP. An updated version of the file attached from Amendment #5 is provided with this amendment. Changes include the deletion of line item 648 FSA CDA Maintenance from the estimate of charges that remain in scope. CDA Maintenance is Government furnished.